

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/558,083

Applicant(s)

HSIEH ET AL.

Examiner

Michael P Colaianne

Art Unit

1731

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Michael P Colaianne.

(3) _____.

(2) Todd Baker.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 July 2003.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 17, 24 and 36.

Identification of prior art discussed: _____.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



MICHAEL COLAIANNI
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Baker agreed to modify claim 17 to recite that the heating element comprises "a fixed surface which heats the glass workpiece." This amendment was made to better define that the heating element fixed surface is being referred to and not the surface on the glass workpiece being heated. The proposed amendment was agreed to by the Examiner. Mr. Baker and Examiner Colaianni also discussed claims 24 and 36 but nothing was agreed to. Mr. Baker may submit arguments and amendments to claims 24 and 36.